



**TRACY WINKLER  
HAMILTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS**

**COURT OF APPEALS**

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED**

**April 19, 2013 03:33 PM**

**TRACY WINKLER**

**Clerk of Courts**

**Hamilton County, Ohio**

**CONFIRMATION 242409**

**STATE OF OHIO EX REL  
SCRIPPS MEDIA INC DBA  
WCPO TV**

**C 1300241**

**vs.**

**HONORABLE TRACIE  
HUNTER**

**FILING TYPE: INITIAL FILING (\$85.00 DEPOSIT REQUIRED)**

**PAGES FILED: 133**

EPR200

**COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO ex rel. SCRIPPS MEDIA, INC. :	
D/B/A WCPO-TV :	Case No.: _____
Petitioner, :	Juv. Ct. Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305,
vs. :	12-7288, 12-7306, 12-7279, 12-7308,
HONORABLE TRACIE M. HUNTER :	12-7366, 12-7367, 12-7278, 12-7307,
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas :	12-7304, 12-7303
Juvenile Division :	
800 Broadway :	
Cincinnati, OH 45202, :	
Respondent. :	<b><u>PETITION AND COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS WITH AFFIDAVITS OF MONICA L. DIAS, JANA SOETE, AND JILLIAN PARRISH</u></b>

This action is brought in the name of the State on the relation of Scripps Media, Inc. d/b/a WCPO-TV (“WCPO”), which seeks a writ of prohibition and writ of mandamus. WCPO for its Petition and Complaint for a Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus states the following:

**PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1. Petitioner Scripps Media, Inc. d/b/a WCPO-TV is a Delaware corporation properly registered with the Ohio Secretary of State to do business in the state of Ohio.
2. Respondent Tracie M. Hunter is a judge of the Court of Common Pleas for Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Division, who presides over several cases involving the alleged felonious assault and aggravated rioting charges against six defendants in connection with the beating of a North College Hill man.
3. The cases are docketed as follows: *In re: T.M.*, Case Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305; *In re: T.M.*, Case Nos. 12-7288, 12-7306; *In re: M.J.*, Case Nos. 12-7279, 12-7308; *In re: A.H.*,

Case Nos. 12-7366, 12-7367; *In re: L.C.*, Case Nos. 12-7278, 12-7307; *In re: D.C.*, Case Nos. 12-7304, 12-7303 (collectively referred to herein as the “Juvenile Court Cases”).

4. This Court possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over Respondent pursuant to Article IV, § 2(B) of the Ohio Constitution, R.C. 2731.02 and *State ex rel. Plain Dealer Publ'g Co. v. Floyd*, 111 Ohio St.3d 56, 2006-Ohio-4437, 855 N.E.2d 35, ¶26 (“There is a long line of cases holding that an action for a writ of prohibition is the proper vehicle to challenge an order of a trial court which orders closure of court proceedings.”).

### **FACTS**

5. On August 24, 2012, legal counsel for WCPO appeared before Magistrate Judge Kelley in the Juvenile Court Cases, along with counsel for other media and defense counsel, to respond to motions by some of the defendants’ counsel to close proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. (See Affidavit of Monica L. Dias, ¶2.)

6. Defendants’ counsel, WCPO and other members of the media (the “Parties”) came to an agreement as to how proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases would be covered. In particular, the Parties agreed that WCPO and other members of the media would not broadcast, televise, photograph or record the faces or identifying features (such as tattoos) of defendants when the defendants are in the courtroom. The Parties agreed that WCPO and other members of the media could broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the faces of anyone, including defendants’ parents and family members, located in the spectator, or gallery, portion of the courtroom behind the bar in the courtroom. The Parties referred to the portion of the courtroom that is not the spectator or gallery portion of the courtroom as “in front of the bar.” That is, the Parties agreed that, for that portion of the courtroom “in front of the bar,” WCPO and other members of the media would be allowed to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the faces of

attorneys, courtroom personnel and the judge, but not other individuals unless events occurred “in front of the bar” that were unique or newsworthy. For example, if a fight broke out “in front of the bar,” then WCPO and other members of the media would be allowed to broadcast, televise, photograph or record such events without being deemed in violation of the agreement. In addition, the Parties agreed that the names of the juvenile defendants are public record and had already been released to The Cincinnati Enquirer by the Cincinnati Police Department. Accordingly, no blanket prohibition on printing or using the names of the defendants or their parents was imposed on WCPO or the other members of the media. Also, the Parties agreed that there were no restrictions with regard to broadcasting, televising, photographing or recording parents, family members or persons other than the defendants in courthouse hallways. Finally, the Parties agreed that none of these restrictions would apply for other cases or if material changes occurred in the Juvenile Court Cases, such as the defendants getting bound over and tried as adults. (See Dias Affidavit, ¶3.)

7. On August 24, 2012, counsel for the media read the terms of the agreement discussed in Paragraph 6, above, into the record before Magistrate Judge Kelley, and counsel for defendants and the prosecution had the opportunity to speak as well. (Dias Affidavit, ¶4.)

8. On September 6, 2012, WCPO and other members of the media submitted to the Juvenile Court a Request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a Portion of the August 24, 2012 Hearing in Front of Magistrate David Kelley (the “Request”). (See Dias Affidavit, ¶5, Exhibit 1.) The request sought access only to the portion of the stenographic record of the portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate Kelley in which the agreement between the media and the defendants was read into the record. On February 19, 2013, Respondent denied the media’s Request. (Dias Affidavit ¶6, Exhibit 2.)

9. On September 7, 2012, in accordance with Hamilton County Juvenile Court Local Rule 14(A), WCPO sent by facsimile to the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court a written request to broadcast, televise, photograph or otherwise record proceedings scheduled on September 17, 2012, September 20, 2012 and September 24, 2012 in the Juvenile Court Cases. (See Affidavit of Jana Soete, ¶2, Exhibit A.) The written request listed the Juvenile Court Cases by case number and also requested permission “to be in court at any time any of these cases is in Juvenile court should that be outside these scheduled dates.” (Id.) Juvenile Court personnel informed WCPO that the written request was insufficient. (Soete Affidavit, ¶2.) Juvenile Court personnel forwarded to WCPO a form labeled “Application Requesting Permission to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings.” (Id.) The form application contained a certification of the applicant as follows: “We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).” (Id., ¶2, Exhibit B.) Jana Soete, WCPO’s managing editor, signed the certification for each of the Juvenile Court Cases with the handwritten signature “WCPO-TV 9 News.” (Id.) The application form stated that “all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify.” (Id. at Exhibit B.) The application form contained no prohibitions or restrictions regarding filming juveniles or parents who do not object, or regarding publishing the defendants’ names obtained through public records requests from the Cincinnati Police Department or otherwise, or regarding publishing the names of the defendants’ parents. (Id., ¶2, Exhibit B.)

10. On September 13, 2012, Ms. Soete signed applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases on September 17, 2012, September 20, 2012 and September 24, 2012. (Soete Affidavit, ¶3, Exhibit C.) Ms. Soete signed the certification on the application for each of the Juvenile Court Cases with the handwritten signature “WCPO-TV” or “WCPO-TV-9 – Jana Soete.” (Id.) The applications that Ms. Soete signed on September 13, 2012 contained the same language as the applications she signed on September 7, 2012 regarding “victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify” and contained no blanket prohibitions or restrictions regarding filming juveniles or parents or publishing the names of defendants or their parents. (Id.)

11. On September 17, 2012 and unbeknownst to WCPO, Respondent entered orders revising the agreement entered as of record on August 24, 2012 and imposing significantly more restrictions on access and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. (Dias Affidavit, ¶7, Exhibit 3; Soete Affidavit, ¶4.) Respondent’s orders state as follows:

“Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants’ parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Otherwise, this journalization reflects the policy for all future proceedings in the above referenced matter.

“Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.”

(See Dias Affidavit, ¶7, Exhibit 3.)

12. Respondent’s orders dated September 17, 2012 were not served on WCPO or its counsel. (Dias Affidavit, ¶7; Soete Affidavit, ¶4.) WCPO did not receive Respondent’s orders

dated September 17, 2012 until March 29, 2013, when counsel for WCPO obtained the orders through a Public Records Request. (Dias Affidavit, ¶7.) The orders include a certification of the applicant stating, “We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).” (Dias Affidavit, Exhibit 3.) The certification is purportedly signed by “Channel 9 (WCPO),” and the signature is typewritten. (Id.) WCPO did not sign the certification and did not type “Channel 9 (WCPO)” on the signature line. (Soete Affidavit, ¶4.)

13. On February 19, 2013, Respondent entered orders in the Juvenile Court Cases, again imposing significantly more restrictions on access to and coverage of the Juvenile Court Cases than the Parties agreed to in the agreement entered into the record before Magistrate Judge Kelley. (See Dias Affidavit, ¶8, Exhibit 4.) The orders dated February 19, 2013 included a certification of the applicant identical in language to the certification on the September 17, 2012 order. (Id. at Exhibit 4). The certification is purportedly signed by “WCPO-TV Channel 9,” and the signature is typewritten. (Id.) WCPO did not sign the certification and did not type “WCPO-TV Channel 9” on the signature line. (Soete Affidavit, ¶5, Exhibit E.)

14. On March 22, 2013, WCPO was required to sign another application in order to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases, and the application contained the same restrictions on coverage of the proceedings as in the September 17, 2012 and February 19, 2013 orders. (See Affidavit of Jillian Parrish, ¶2, Exhibit A.) Jillian Parrish, WCPO’s planning editor, signed the March 22, 2013 application because Juvenile Court personnel told her that WCPO would not be allowed into the courtroom, even without a camera or recording device, unless WCPO signed the application. (Parrish Affidavit, ¶3.)

15. On March 25, 2013, Respondent entered orders in the Juvenile Court Cases including the same overly broad and unconstitutional restrictions as in the September 17, 2012 and February 19, 2013 orders. (See Dias Affidavit at Exhibit 5.) The March 25, 2013 orders added a statement regarding the consequences of violating the order: **“If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.”** (Emphasis added.) (Dias Affidavit at Exhibit 5.) Although the certification of applicant on the March 25, 2013 orders purportedly bears the signature of “WCPO ABC (9)” or “WCPO-ABC-9” in handwriting, WCPO did not sign the certification of applicant. (Soete Affidavit, ¶6, Exhibit F.)

16. Respondent’s orders of September 17, 2012, February 19, 2013 and March 25, 2013 (the “Orders”) are unconstitutional and overly broad in several respects:

- a. WCPO is prohibited from broadcasting or publishing the names of defendants and their parents, even though the defendants’ names, which are public record, have been disclosed to the media by police and even though The Cincinnati Enquirer has previously reported the defendants’ names. In fact, the defendants’ names have been widely publicized in Cincinnati and in other parts of the country, including at [www.usatoday.com](http://www.usatoday.com), the website of national newspaper *USA Today*, and at [www.freep.com](http://www.freep.com), the website of the *Detroit Free Press*. (See Dias Affidavit, ¶10, Exhibit 6.)
- b. The Orders are so broadly written that they prohibit WCPO from reporting the names of the defendants and their parents even if WCPO learns of the names of

the defendants and their parents through public records, or law enforcement, or coverage of community events.

- c. The Orders state that “[p]hotographing the Defendant’s parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.” (See Dias Affidavit, Exhibits 3, 4, 5.) Respondent imposed this restriction without hearing evidence and argument on the issue or making findings of fact and conclusions of law consistent with well-established Ohio Supreme Court jurisprudence. *See, e.g., State ex rel. Plain Dealer Publ’g Co. v. Floyd*, 111 Ohio St.3d 56, 2006-Ohio-4437, 855 N.E.2d 35, ¶ 27; *State ex rel. Plain Dealer Publ’g Co. v. Geauga County Court of Common Pleas, Juvenile Div.* (2000), 90 Ohio St.3d 79, 83-85, 734 N.E.2d 1214; *State ex rel. Dispatch Printing Co. v. Lias* (1994), 68 Ohio St.3d 497, 628 N.E.2d 1368 (paragraph one of syllabus). Moreover, Respondent’s prohibition on photographing parents is overly broad because WCPO cannot be tasked with the burden of knowing who in the courtroom is a parent of the six defendants. This issue was addressed and resolved in the agreement reached by the Parties and entered of record before Magistrate Judge Kelley on August 24, 2012.
- d. The prohibitions and restrictions in Respondent’s order of March 25, 2013 are so broad that if WCPO videotaped or photographed defendants and/or their parents at community events, rallies, or other events outside of the courtroom proceedings, or violated the unconstitutional and overly broad restrictions in some other way, WCPO would be punished by Respondent revoking WCPO’s “permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record **all future courtroom proceedings.**” (Emphasis added.) (See Dias Affidavit, Exhibit 5.) Such

punishment is not limited to the Juvenile Court Cases; rather, the March 25, 2013 order is so broad that Respondent would bar WCPO from all cases in her courtroom. Neither the Rules of Practice of Hamilton County Juvenile Court nor the Ohio Rules of Superintendence contemplates such a harsh and unconstitutional penalty.<sup>1</sup>

- e. Respondent's orders of September 17, 2012 and February 19, 2013 require WCPO to "[s]eek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image." (See Dias Affidavit, Exhibits 3, 4.) There is no support in the Rules of Practice of Hamilton County Juvenile Court or the Ohio Rules of Superintendence for such a restriction.
- f. The Orders state that "[j]uvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist." (See Dias Affidavit, Exhibits 3, 4, 5.) WCPO typically films hands or feet of juvenile defendants in court, and often a defendant's hands will be resting on the defense table above a defendant's waist. Filming hands placed in this position would violate the Orders, and WCPO would risk being barred from "all future courtroom proceedings," per the order dated March 25, 2013. This issue was addressed and resolved in the agreement reached by the Parties and entered of record before Magistrate Judge Kelley; WCPO agreed not to film the defendants' faces or identifying features (such as tattoos).

17. WCPO is now required to sign an Application Requesting Permission to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings that contains the

---

<sup>1</sup>Respondent has already barred *The Cincinnati Enquirer* from the courtroom in the Juvenile Court Cases for publishing the defendants' names. (See *State ex rel. Cincinnati Enquirer v. Hon. Judge Tracie Hunter*, Case No. C-1300183, filed March 25, 2013, First District Court of Appeals, Hamilton County, Ohio.)

unconstitutional and overly broad restrictions and prohibitions before WCPO is allowed to attend and cover the Juvenile Court Cases – even if WCPO does not intend to broadcast, televise, photograph or record the proceedings. (See Soete Affidavit, ¶7; Parrish Affidavit, ¶3.)

18. The Orders impose restrictions and prohibitions on WCPO that constitute an unconstitutional prior restraint. *See Nebraska Press Ass'n v. Stuart* (1976), 427 U.S. 539.

19. The Orders constitute a prohibition on access to courtroom proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. A court may prohibit access to juvenile court proceedings only if the court finds after hearing evidence and argument on the issue, that “(1) there exists a reasonable and substantial basis for believing that public access could harm the child or endanger the fairness of the adjudication, (2) the potential for harm outweighs the benefits of public access, and (3) there are no reasonable alternatives to closure.” *Floyd*, 111 Ohio St.3d at 61-62, 2006-Ohio-4437, ¶27 (citing *In re T.R.* (1990), 52 Ohio St.3d 6, 556 N.E.2d 439, paragraph three of the syllabus; *State ex rel. Dispatch Printing Co. v. Lias* (1994), 68 Ohio St.3d 497, 628 N.E.2d 1368, paragraph one of the syllabus). No such hearing has been held here.

20. Reasonable alternatives to the restrictions in the Orders already exist and are contained in the agreement reached by the Parties and entered of record before Magistrate Judge Kelley on August 24, 2012. *See Lias*, 68 Ohio St.3d at 503 (“[A]ny restriction shielding court proceedings from public scrutiny should be narrowly tailored to serve the competing interest of protecting the welfare of the child or children and of not unduly burdening the public’s right of access.”)

21. Respondent has denied WCPO and its counsel access to the transcript of that portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in which the agreement reached by the Parties was entered of record before Magistrate Judge Kelley in open court. (Dias Affidavit, ¶6, Exhibit 2.)

Respondent's refusal to provide WCPO with that portion of the transcript that relates to the agreement regarding WCPO's coverage of the proceedings violates WCPO's right of access; the release of the transcript will not harm the child or endanger the fairness of the proceeding. *See State ex rel. Scripps Howard Broad. Co. v. Cuyahoga County Ct. of Common Pleas*, 73 Ohio St.3d 19, 21-22, 652 N.E.2d 179 (1995) ("The right of access includes both live proceedings and the transcripts which document those proceedings.").

**Prohibition and Mandamus are Appropriate Here**

22. To obtain a writ of prohibition, WCPO must establish that (1) Respondent is about to exercise judicial or quasi-judicial power, (2) this exercise is unauthorized by law, and (3) WCPO lacks an adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. *See State ex rel Doe v. Capper*, 132 Ohio St.3d 365, 972 N.E.2d 553, 2012-Ohio-2686, ¶10. All three elements are met here. Respondent has exercised judicial power by signing and entering the Orders, such exercise is unauthorized by the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution and is contrary to Ohio law, and WCPO lacks an adequate remedy to prohibition in the ordinary course of law.

23. To obtain a writ of mandamus, WCPO must show that (1) WCPO has a "clear legal right" to relief, (2) Respondent is under a clear legal duty to perform the acts," and (3) WCPO does not otherwise have a "plain and adequate remedy in the ordinary case of law." *See State ex rel Am. Legion Post 25 v. Ohio Civ. Rights Comm'n*, 117 Ohio St.3d 441, 884 N.E.2d 589, 2008-Ohio 1261, ¶11.

24. WCPO has a clear legal right to attend the proceedings without a camera or recording device and without agreeing to the unconstitutional and overly broad restrictions imposed by the Orders. But WCPO has been instructed by Juvenile Court personnel that if WCPO does not sign the unconstitutional and overly broad orders, WCPO will be denied access

to the Juvenile Court Cases – even if WCPO does not bring a camera or recording device into the courtroom.

25. WCPO has a clear legal right to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the Juvenile Court proceedings without the unconstitutional and overly broad restrictions imposed by Respondent. The agreement reached with defense counsel and entered into of record before Magistrate Kelley on August 24, 2012 demonstrates that less restrictive and reasonable alternatives are available.

26. Respondent has a clear legal duty to permit WCPO to attend the Juvenile Court Proceedings without imposing overly broad restrictions that constitute an unconstitutional prior restraint.

27. Respondent has a clear legal duty to hear evidence and argument and make findings of fact and conclusions of law before restricting access to proceedings in the Juvenile Court cases.

28. Respondent has a clear legal duty to follow less restrictive and reasonable alternatives to her Orders' unconstitutional restrictions on WCPO's access to and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases.

29. Respondent has refused to comply with her legal duty.

30. WCPO has no adequate alternative remedy in the ordinary course of law.

**Immediate or Expedited Action Needed**

31. Upon information and belief, further proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases are scheduled for April 22, May 13, May 22, June 24 and June 27, 2013. (Soete Affidavit, ¶ 8.) Therefore, the need for the requested Writ of Prohibition is immediate to prevent Respondent from imposing overly broad and unconstitutional restrictions on WCPO for access to and

coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. Likewise, the need for the requested Writ of Mandamus is immediate to order Respondent to follow the least restrictive and reasonable alternatives to her Orders' unconstitutional restrictions on WCPO's access to and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. Reasonable alternatives exist, such as the terms included in the agreement between WCPO, other members of the media and counsel of record and entered into the record before Magistrate Judge Kelley on August 24, 2012.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, WCPO requests relief from this Court as follows:


- A. A peremptory or alternative writ of prohibition against Hon. Tracie M. Hunter, Judge of the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court, prohibiting her from requiring WCPO from signing Applications to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings that contain overly broad and unconstitutional restrictions contained in her orders dated September 17, 2012, February 19, 2013 and March 25, 2013 (the "Orders");
- B. A peremptory or alternative writ of prohibition against Hon. Tracie M. Hunter, Judge of the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court, prohibiting her from enforcing the Orders;
- C. A peremptory or alternative writ of mandamus ordering Hon. Tracie M. Hunter, Judge of the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court, to follow the least restrictive and reasonable alternatives to her Orders' unconstitutional restrictions on WCPO's access to and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. Reasonable alternatives exist and include the terms in the agreement reached between WCPO,

other members of the media, and counsel of record and entered into the record before Magistrate Judge Kelley on August 24, 2012.

D. A peremptory or alternative writ of mandamus ordering Hon. Tracie M. Hunter, Judge of the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court, to release to WCPO a copy of the portion of the stenographic record of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate Kelley in which the agreement between WCPO, other members of the media and the defendants in the Juvenile Court Cases was read into the record.

E. Such further and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



Jill P. Meyer (#0066326)  
FROST BROWN TODD LLC  
3300 Great American Tower  
301 East Fourth Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
(513) 651-6800 (phone)  
(513) 651-6981 (facsimile)  
jmeyer@fbtlaw.com  
*Attorney for Relator*

Of Counsel:

Monica L. Dias (#0073617)  
FROST BROWN TODD LLC  
3300 Great American Tower  
301 East Fourth Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
513-651-6800 (phone)  
513-651-6891 (facsimile)  
mdias@fbtlaw.com

**PRAECIPE FOR SERVICE**

Please issue a summons along with a copy of this Petition and Complaint for Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus to the Respondent identified in the caption via Certified Mail, return receipt requested.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael P. Kuyer  
Attorney for Petitioner

CINLibrary 0078612.0484957 2724945v2

**COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO ex rel. SCRIPPS MEDIA, INC. :  
D/B/A WCPO-TV :  
Petitioner, :  
vs. :  
HONORABLE TRACIE M. HUNTER :  
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas :  
Juvenile Division :  
800 Broadway :  
Cincinnati, OH 45202 :  
Respondent. :  
Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Juv. Ct. Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305,  
12-7288, 12-7306, 12-7279, 12-7308,  
12-7366, 12-7367, 12-7278, 12-7307,  
12-7304, 12-7303  
  
**AFFIDAVIT OF MONICA L. DIAS**  
**IN SUPPORT OF PETITION AND**  
**COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF**  
**PROHIBITION AND WRIT OF**  
**MANDAMUS AND IN SUPPORT**  
**OF MOTION FOR EMERGENCY**  
**STAY**

STATE OF OHIO )  
 ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )

I, Monica L. Dias, being duly cautioned and sworn, state as follows:

1. I am an attorney at Frost Brown Todd LLC and serve as legal counsel for Petitioner Scripps Media, Inc. d/b/a WCPO-TV (“WCPO”). I have personal knowledge of the matters recounted in this Affidavit.

2. On August 24, 2012, I appeared before Magistrate Judge Kelley in a proceeding in the cases docketed as *In re: T.M.*, Case Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305; *In re: T.M.*, Case Nos. 12-7288, 12-7306; *In re: M.J.*, Case Nos. 12-7279, 12-7308; *In re: A.H.*, Case Nos. 12-7366, 12-7367; *In re: L.C.*, Case Nos. 12-7278, 12-7307; *In re: D.C.*, Case Nos. 12-7304, 12-7303 (the "Juvenile Court Cases"). I appeared along with counsel for other media outlets to respond to motions by some of the defendants' counsel to close proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases.

3. Defendants' counsel, WCPO, and other members of the media (the "Parties") came to an agreement as to how proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases would be covered. Although Respondent has not allowed WCPO to obtain a portion of the transcript of the court proceedings in which counsel for the media read the terms of the agreement into the record, my notes and recollections are that we agreed to the following. The Parties agreed that WCPO and other members of the media would not broadcast, televise, photograph or record the faces or identifying features (such as tattoos) of defendants when the defendants are in the courtroom. The Parties agreed that WCPO and other members of the media would be allowed to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the faces of anyone, including defendants' parents and family members, located in the spectator, or gallery, portion of the courtroom behind the bar in the courtroom. The Parties referred to the portion of the courtroom that is not the spectator or gallery portion of the courtroom as "in front of the bar." That is, the Parties agreed that, for that portion of the courtroom "in front of the bar," WCPO and other members of the media could broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the faces of attorneys, courtroom personnel and the judge, but not other individuals unless events occurred "in front of the bar" that were unique or newsworthy. For example, if a fight broke out "in front of the bar," then WCPO and other members of the media would be allowed to broadcast, televise, photograph or record such events without being deemed in violation of the agreement. In addition, the Parties agreed that the names of the juvenile defendants are public record and have already been released to The Cincinnati Enquirer by the Cincinnati Police Department. Accordingly, no blanket prohibition on printing or using the names of the defendants or their parents was imposed on WCPO or other members of the media. Also, the Parties agreed that there were no restrictions with regard to broadcasting, televising, photographing or recording parents, family members or persons other

than the defendants in courthouse hallways. Finally, the Parties agreed that none of these restrictions would apply for other cases or if material changes occurred in the Juvenile Court Cases, such as the defendants getting bound over and tried as adults.

4. On August 24, 2012, counsel for the media and I read the terms of the agreement discussed above into the record before Magistrate Judge Kelley, and counsel for defendants and the prosecution had the opportunity to speak as well.

5. On or about September 6, 2012, counsel for WCPO and other members of the media submitted to the Juvenile Court a Request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a Portion of the August 24, 2012 Hearing in Front of Magistrate David Kelley (the “Request”). A true and accurate copy of the Request is attached as **Exhibit 1**. The request sought access only to the portion of the stenographic record of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate Kelley in which the agreement between the media and the defendants was read into the record.

6. On February 19, 2013, the Juvenile Court denied the media’s Request. True and accurate copies of the Court’s orders denying the media’s Request are attached as **Exhibit 2**.


7. On March 28, 2013, Frost Brown Todd submitted a public records request pursuant to R.C. § 149.43 to the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court requesting all entries and/or orders of the Court regarding media coverage of the Juvenile Court Cases (the “Public Records Request”). On March 29, 2013, Frost Brown Todd received public records from the Juvenile Court regarding WCPO in response to the Public Records Request, including orders signed by Judge Tracie M. Hunter and dated September 17, 2012 that imposed significantly more restrictions on the media’s access and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. The September 17, 2012 orders were not served on WCPO’s counsel. True and accurate copies of the orders dated September 17, 2012 are attached as **Exhibit 3**.

8. Also in response to the Public Records Request, Frost Brown Todd received from the Juvenile Court copies of orders dated February 19, 2013 signed by Judge Tracie M. Hunter in the Juvenile Court Cases. True and accurate copies of the orders dated February 19, 2013 are attached as **Exhibit 4**. Again, these orders impose significantly more restrictions on access to and coverage of the Juvenile Court Cases than the Parties agreed to in the agreement entered of record before Magistrate Judge Kelley on August 24, 2012.

9. Frost Brown Todd also has received from the Juvenile Court copies of orders dated March 25, 2013 signed by Judge Tracie M. Hunter in the Juvenile Court Cases. The March 25, 2013 orders contain the same restrictions and prohibitions as in Judge Hunter's September 17, 2012 orders and the February 19, 2013 orders. In addition, the March 25, 2013 orders contain the following language: **"If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law."** True and accurate copies of the orders dated March 25, 2013 are attached as **Exhibit 5**.

10. True and accurate copies of news articles from [www.usatoday.com](http://www.usatoday.com), the website of national newspaper *USA Today*, and [www.freep.com](http://www.freep.com), the website of the *Detroit Free Press*, are attached as **Exhibit 6**. The news articles publish the names of the six defendants.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.



Monica L. Dias

STATE OF OHIO )  
 ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )


The foregoing Affidavit was acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, by Monica L. Dias, an individual known to me or whose identity was proven on the basis of satisfactory evidence, on the 19 day of April, 2013.

John S. Miller  
Notary Public – State of Ohio

DIANE M. ATTIX  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 04-25-2015

**PRAECIPE FOR SERVICE**

Please issue a copy of this Affidavit of Monica L. Dias along with the Summons and Petition and Complaint for Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus to the Respondent identified in the caption via Certified Mail, return receipt requested.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Jill P. Mayer*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Petitioner

CINLibrary 0078612.0484957 2733410v1

# **EXHIBIT 1**

9-24-12  
1:30  
Hunter

THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE DIVISION

IN RE: [REDACTED]

: CASE NOS. [REDACTED]  
: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER  
: Magistrate David Kelley

IN RE: [REDACTED]

: CASE NOS. [REDACTED]  
: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER  
: Magistrate David Kelley

IN RE: [REDACTED]

: CASE NOS. [REDACTED]  
: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER  
: Magistrate David Kelley

IN RE: T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

: CASE NOS. 12/7288-12/7306  
: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER  
: Magistrate David Kelley

RECEIVED  
-6 PM 25 2012  
HAMILTON COUNTY  
CLERK'S OFFICE



---

IN RE: [REDACTED] : CASE NOS. [REDACTED]

: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER

: Magistrate David Kelley

---

IN RE: [REDACTED] : CASE NOS. [REDACTED]

: JUDGE TRACIE HUNTER

: Magistrate David Kelley

---

**REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTION OF THE STENOGRAPHIC RECORD OF A  
PORTION OF THE AUGUST 24, 2012 HEARING IN FRONT OF MAGISTRATE  
DAVID KELLEY**

---

Pursuant to Rule 10(C) of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Division, WKRC-TV, Fox 19, WCPO, WLWT-TV and the Cincinnati Enquirer (collectively, the "Media") jointly request a transcription of the stenographic record of the portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley in the above captioned cases in which the stipulation between the Media and the juveniles was read into the record.


Rule 10(C) provides in pertinent part:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription. All written requests for a transcript shall contain the case number, presiding judge or magistrate, date of hearing, reason for the request, number of

copies in addition to the original, payor of the transcript, and any other pertinent information. The judge assigned administrative responsibility for the case may schedule a hearing or may rule on the request upon the pleadings.


The Media requests one (1) copy of the transcript in addition to the original. WKRC-TV will assume responsibility for payment of the transcript. The reason for the request is to have the stipulation between the media and the juveniles, which was placed of record at the August 24, 2012 hearing, transcribed for further use. Undersigned counsel will provide a copy of this Request to the court reporter responsible for transcription.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Nicholas J. Pieczonka (0087062)  
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP  
425 Walnut St., Suite 1800  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
Phone: (513) 381-2838  
Fax: (513) 381-0205  
npieczonka@taftlaw.com

*Attorney for WKRC-TV*

and

  
John C. Greiner (0005551)  
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP  
Fifth Third Center  
511 Walnut St., Suite 1900  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
Phone (513) 629-2734  
Fax (513) 651-3836  
jgreiner@graydon.com

*Attorney the Cincinnati Enquirer*

  
Susan Grogan Faller by   
Susan Grogan Faller (0017777)  
Frost Brown Todd LLC  
PNC Center  
201 E. Fifth Street, Suite 2200  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
Phone: (513) 651-6941  
Fax: (513) 651-6981  
sfaller@fbtlaw.com

*Attorney Fox 19, WCPO, WLWT-TV*

# **EXHIBIT 2**

JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

IN RE: L.C.

§ CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7278, 12-7307

§ ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Nancy Cutler represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013

12/007307 02/19/2013



\*J715501\* E03  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED 04/19/2013 15:33 / IF / C 1300241 / CONFIRMATION NUMBER 242409

**JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

**IN RE: T.M.**

**§ CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7285, 12-7305**

**§ ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD**

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Amy Williams represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013

/12-007305

02/19/2013



\*J7715516\*

E03

**JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

IN RE: T.M.

§      CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7288, 12-7306

§      **ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD**

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Melanie Walls represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013



\*J7715512\*

E03

JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

IN RE: M.J.

§ CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7279, 12-7308

§ ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Ramona Daniels represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013

/12/007308

02/19/2013



JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

IN RE: A.H.

§ CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7366, 12-7367

§ ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Michael Lanzillotta represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013



JUVENILE COURT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

IN RE: D.C.

§ CASE NUMBER(S) 12-7304, 12-7303

§ ENTRY DENYING THE  
MEDIA'S REQUEST FOR  
TRANSCRIPTION OF  
STENOGRAPHIC RECORD

This cause came to be heard upon the request for the Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of Magistrate David Kelley.

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Dorothy Branson represents the State.

Attorney Peter Rosenwald represents the Defendant.

Attorney Nicholas J. Pieczonka represents WKRC-TV.

Attorney Susan Grogan Faller represents FOX 19, WCPO and WLWT-TV.

Attorney John C. Greiner represents the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice Rule 10(C) provides:

[A]ny party requesting a full or partial transcript of the record shall file a written request with the clerk and provide a copy to the person responsible for transcription.


Ohio Juv.R.2(Y) states, "Party means a child who is the subject of a juvenile court proceeding, the child's spouse, if any, the child's parent or parents, or if the parent of a child is a child, the parent of that parent, in appropriate cases, the child's custodian, guardian, or guardian ad litem, the state, and any other person specifically designated by the court."

Pursuant to the definition, the media, in the instant case does not qualify as a party.

If any media desires to attend a juvenile court proceeding, it must make proper application to the court, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Superintendence and the Hamilton County Juvenile Rules of Practice. The application must be made to the Jurist presiding over the case. Such application may be granted or denied, but does not imply, designate, or infer a party status.

Whereas, it is this Court's finding that the media are not a party to the requested proceedings, they are not entitled to a transcript of the stenographic record.

Therefore, the media's request for Transcription of the Stenographic Record of a portion of the August 24, 2012 hearing in front of the Magistrate is hereby denied.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
February 19, 2013

/12-007304

02/19/2013



J7715497\*

E03

# **EXHIBIT 3**

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO.12/7288, 12/7306



JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

Channel 9 (WCPO)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12.


Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Otherwise, this journalization reflects the policy for all future proceedings in the above referenced matter.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 157 (1988)

September 17, 2012  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

**RULE 12. Conditions for Broadcasting and Photographing Court Proceedings.**

(A) **Presiding judge.** The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings that are open to the public as provided by Ohio law. After consultation with the media, the judge shall specify the place or places in the courtroom where the operators and equipment are to be positioned. Requests for permission for the broadcasting, televising, recording, or taking of photographs in the courtroom shall be in writing and the written order of the judge shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings.

(B) **Permissible equipment and operators.**

(1) Use of more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator shall be allowed only with the permission of the judge.

(2) Not more than one still photographer shall be permitted to photograph trial proceedings without permission of the judge. Still photographers shall be limited to two cameras with two lenses for each camera.

(3) For radio broadcast purposes, not more than one audio system shall be permitted in court. Where available and suitable, existing audio pickup systems in the court facility shall be used by the media. If existing audio pickup systems are not available, microphones and other electronic equipment necessary for the audio pickup shall be as inconspicuous as possible but shall be visible.

(4) Visible audio recording equipment may be used by news media reporters with the prior permission of the judge.

(5) Arrangements between or among media for "pooling" of equipment shall be the responsibility of the media representative authorized to cover the proceeding. "Pooling" arrangements are to be made outside the courtroom and without imposing on the judge or court personnel. If disputes arise over arrangements between or among media representatives, the judge may exclude all contesting representatives from the proceedings.

(6) The judge shall prohibit the use of electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light. No artificial lighting other than that normally used in the courtroom shall be employed, provided that, if the normal lighting in the courtroom can be improved without becoming obtrusive, the judge may permit modification.

(7) Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall be afforded a clear view but shall not be permitted to move about in the courtroom during court proceedings from the places where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(B) Permissible Equipment and Operators**

Not more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator and not more than one still photographer with two cameras shall be allowed unless the judge presiding at the trial or hearing specifically permits additional cameras or operators. Each of the two still cameras permitted by the rule is limited to two lenses.

For purposes of radio broadcasting, not more than one audio system is permitted. If an existing audio system is available and suitable, it shall be used. If an audio system is not available, then microphones and other necessary equipment "shall be as inconspicuous as possible but must be visible."

Portable audio recording equipment may be used by reporters if it is visible and if the permission of the judge presiding at the trial or hearing is first obtained.

All pooling arrangements are the responsibility of the media representatives. Pooling arrangements must be made without involving the court. If any disputes arise, the judge may exclude all contesting media representatives.

Electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light shall be prohibited by the judge. No artificial lighting, other than that normally used in the courtroom, is permitted unless the judge, upon request and after consultation with the media representatives, determines that the normal light can be improved without becoming obtrusive.

Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall not move about the courtroom from the place where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(C) Limitations**

Audio pickup or broadcast of conferences in a court facility between attorney and client or between counsel and the judge are prohibited.

The trial judge must advise victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

No part of Rule 12 gives authority for media coverage where it is otherwise limited or prohibited by law.

While the court is in session, media representatives are not permitted to either transmit or record anything from the courtroom other than court proceedings.

**(C) Limitations.**

- (1) There shall be no audio pickup or broadcast of conferences conducted in a court facility between attorneys and clients or co-counsel or of conferences conducted at the bench between counsel and the judge.
- (2) The judge shall inform victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.
- (3) This rule shall not be construed to grant media representatives any greater rights than permitted by law.
- (4) Media representatives shall not be permitted to transmit or record anything other than the court proceedings from the courtroom while the court is in session.

**(D) Revocation of permission.** Upon the failure of any media representative to comply with the conditions prescribed by this rule or the judge, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing.

**Commentary (July 1, 1997)**

Rule 12 is analogous to former C.P. Sup. R. 11 and M.C. Sup. R. 9. Division (A) was revised to include a reference to standards set forth in Ohio law, such as *In re T.R.* (1990), 52 Ohio St.3d 6, that govern public access to court proceedings. The 1997 amendments also eliminated the prohibition against changing film and videotape during court proceedings.

**Rule 12(A) Presiding Judge**

The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings open to the public, upon request, if the judge determines that to do so would not distract the participants, impair the dignity of the proceedings or otherwise materially interfere with the achievement of a fair trial. Both the request for permission and the ruling on the request must be in writing and made a part of the record of the proceedings.

The filming, videotaping, recording, or taking of photographs of victims or witnesses who object shall not be permitted.

After consultation with the media the judge specifies the locations within the courtroom where operators and equipment may be located. However, still photographers and television and radio representatives must be given a clear view of the proceedings under division (B)(7).

**Rule 12(D) Revocation of Permission**

If any media representative fails to comply with the conditions set by either the Judge or this rule, the Judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

LAWDING CIRCUIT

§ CASE NO. 12/7278, 12/7307



JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

Channel 9 (WCPO)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12.


Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Otherwise, this journalization reflects the policy for all future proceedings in the above referenced matter.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In ReTR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (990)  
Dispatch v Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 157 (1988)

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

Date

**RULE 12. Conditions for Broadcasting and Photographing Court Proceedings.**

**(A) Presiding judge.** The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings that are open to the public as provided by Ohio law. After consultation with the media, the judge shall specify the place or places in the courtroom where the operators and equipment are to be positioned. Requests for permission for the broadcasting, televising, recording, or taking of photographs in the courtroom shall be in writing and the written order of the judge shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings.

**(B) Permissible equipment and operators.**

(1) Use of more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator shall be allowed only with the permission of the judge.

(2) Not more than one still photographer shall be permitted to photograph trial proceedings without permission of the judge. Still photographers shall be limited to two cameras with two lenses for each camera.

(3) For radio broadcast purposes, not more than one audio system shall be permitted in court. Where available and suitable, existing audio pickup systems in the court facility shall be used by the media. If existing audio pickup systems are not available, microphones and other electronic equipment necessary for the audio pickup shall be as inconspicuous as possible but shall be visible.

(4) Visible audio recording equipment may be used by news media reporters with the prior permission of the judge.

(5) Arrangements between or among media for "pooling" of equipment shall be the responsibility of the media representative authorized to cover the proceeding. "Pooling" arrangements are to be made outside the courtroom and without imposing on the judge or court personnel. If disputes arise over arrangements between or among media representatives, the judge may exclude all contesting representatives from the proceedings.

(6) The judge shall prohibit the use of electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light. No artificial lighting other than that normally used in the courtroom shall be employed, provided that, if the normal lighting in the courtroom can be improved without becoming obtrusive, the judge may permit modification.

(7) Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall be afforded a clear view but shall not be permitted to move about in the courtroom during court proceedings from the places where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(B) Permissible Equipment and Operators**

Not more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator and not more than one still photographer with two cameras shall be allowed unless the judge presiding at the trial or hearing specifically permits additional cameras or operators. Each of the two still cameras permitted by the rule is limited to two lenses.

For purposes of radio broadcasting, not more than one audio system is permitted. If an existing audio system is available and suitable, it shall be used. If an audio system is not available, then microphones and other necessary equipment "shall be as inconspicuous as possible but must be visible."

Portable audio recording equipment may be used by reporters if it is visible and if the permission of the judge presiding at the trial or hearing is first obtained.

All pooling arrangements are the responsibility of the media representatives. Pooling arrangements must be made without involving the court. If any disputes arise, the judge may exclude all contesting media representatives.

Electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light shall be prohibited by the judge. No artificial lighting, other than that normally used in the courtroom, is permitted unless the judge, upon request and after consultation with the media representatives, determines that the normal light can be improved without becoming obtrusive.

Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall not move about the courtroom from the place where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(C) Limitations**

Audio pickup or broadcast of conferences in a court facility between attorney and client or between counsel and the judge are prohibited.

The trial judge must advise victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

No part of Rule 12 gives authority for media coverage where it is otherwise limited or prohibited by law.

While the court is in session, media representatives are not permitted to either transmit or record anything from the courtroom other than court proceedings.

**(C) Limitations.**

- (1) There shall be no audio pickup or broadcast of conferences conducted in a court facility between attorneys and clients or co-counsel or of conferences conducted at the bench between counsel and the judge.
- (2) The judge shall inform victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.
- (3) This rule shall not be construed to grant media representatives any greater rights than permitted by law.
- (4) Media representatives shall not be permitted to transmit or record anything other than the court proceedings from the courtroom while the court is in session.

**(D) Revocation of permission.** Upon the failure of any media representative to comply with the conditions prescribed by this rule or the judge, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing.

**Commentary (July 1, 1997)**

Rule 12 is analogous to former C.P. Sup. R. 11 and M.C. Sup. R. 9. Division (A) was revised to include a reference to standards set forth in Ohio law, such as *In re T.R.* (1990), 52 Ohio St.3d 6, that govern public access to court proceedings. The 1997 amendments also eliminated the prohibition against changing film and videotape during court proceedings.

**Rule 12(A) Presiding Judge**

The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings open to the public, upon request, if the judge determines that to do so would not distract the participants, impair the dignity of the proceedings or otherwise materially interfere with the achievement of a fair trial. Both the request for permission and the ruling on the request must be in writing and made a part of the record of the proceedings.

The filming, videotaping, recording, or taking of photographs of victims or witnesses who object shall not be permitted.

After consultation with the media the judge specifies the locations within the courtroom where operators and equipment may be located. However, still photographers and television and radio representatives must be given a clear view of the proceedings under division (B)(7).

**Rule 12(D) Revocation of Permission**

If any media representative fails to comply with the conditions set by either the judge or this rule, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing

# **EXHIBIT 4**

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7288, 12-7306 Z

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Further application must be made for future hearings.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date 02/19/13

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7285, 12-7305 Z

T ██████████ M ██████████

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



  
02/19/13  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7278, 12-7307 Z

~~Law Office of Chapman~~

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

Date

02/19/13

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7303, 12-7304 Z

D. C. 100

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

**Applicant**

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.

12/007304 02/19/2013  
  
\*J7715861\* E218

 02/19/13  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7366, 12-7367 Z

A [REDACTED] H [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.

/12-007366

02/19/2013



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

02/19/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7279, 12-7308 Z

M. [REDACTED] J. [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.


Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.

/12/007308

02/19/2013



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

02/19/13  
Date

# **EXHIBIT 5**

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007306

IN RE: T. [REDACTED] M. [REDACTED]

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926512\*  
jcmr153.dot

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007288

IN RE: T. [REDACTED] M. [REDACTED]

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926533\*  
jcmr153.dot

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 42M2009-12-7306

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§

APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO ABC (9)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:


All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

3/25/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7288 / *12A7306*

*M* [REDACTED], *T* [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD ABC (9)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

*Judge Tracie M. Hunter*  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

*3/25/13*  
Date

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007285

IN RE: T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926498\*  
jcmr153.dot

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007305

IN RE: T. M. [REDACTED]

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926504\*  
jomr153.dot

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12-7305 *WMAZ 25*

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCP0 - ABC - 9  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:


All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

03/25/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12 [REDACTED] / 7285

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD - ABC - 9  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:


All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

03/25/13  
Date

# EXHIBIT 6

## 3 Ohio teens plead in 'bored' beating case

Jennifer Edwards Baker, The Cincinnati Enquirer

Six youths, ages 13-15, brutally beat 45-year-old man while "looking for something to do."




Photo: The Cincinnati Enquirer

STORY CONTINUES

Attack took place Aug. 11

Manhane was walking home from a store to go watch a ball game when he was attacked

All of the teens are on house arrest and stand trial in October

NORTH COLLEGE HILL, Ohio — Three of the six teenagers charged with the brutal beating of a man simply because they were bored pleaded guilty Monday in Hamilton County Juvenile Court, officials said.

Dequan Cain, Lamont Champion and Antonio Herold, all 14, admitted to one court each of felonious assault in exchange for juvenile prosecutors dropping the aggravated riot charge and a serious youthful offender stipulation that would have sentenced them under a blend of juvenile and adult guidelines, said North College Hill Police Chief Gary Foust.

The teens will be sentenced in April and May by Hamilton County Juvenile Court Judge Tracey Hunter.

They could be placed on probation or incarcerated from a minimum of one year or until they turn 21.

Foust said he hopes the judge uses the stipulation.

"We certainly would like to see some sort of incarceration," he said.

When asked if he was disappointed the serious youthful offender stipulation and riot charge were dropped, the chief responded:

"We certainly did our part in finalizing the charges. Certainly it is up to the discretion of the prosecutor and since whether charges are amended or not," he said.

"Ultimately, I guess where justice prevails is if the judge has a chance to review all the facts of the case and then issues a sentence based on those facts."

The three other teens charged in the case will either plead guilty in the coming weeks or continue fighting the charges by asking to go to a pre-trial. Tyree Mizell, 13, Michael E. James, 15 and Terrell Mizell, 13.

In the meantime, all the teens remain on house arrest and attend North College Hill schools.

The youths who all were charged with felonious assault and aggravated riot in the Aug. 11 attack on Pat Manhane, 45, in North College Hill, are being tried separately in juvenile court. Hunter decided on Feb. 18.




Read more (10 comments)

Arrest of 3 teens in assault, suspect agents ran wounded

2 days ago

Man pleads guilty to beating, strangling man

12 days ago



Dramatic images from Texas explosion: USA NOW video

Apr 15, 2013

By Mark S. Miller, USA TODAY Staff Writer  
11:22 AM ET, 04/19/2013 • 40 comments

11-year-old boy, 40, in jail today, awaiting trial, after being held overnight in juvenile court. Number deleted on Feb. 18.

The boys' families and lawyers have said they will not give interviews.

• The case drew national attention to North College Hill, a western Hamilton County suburb of about 16,000 residents. Mahaney was walking home from the store with a friend of hers on a Saturday night, looking forward to watching a ball game, when the six teenagers jumped her from behind and brutally beat her, police have said.

The boys told investigators they attacked Mahaney because they were "bored" and "looking for something to do," a police report shows.

Mahaney, an unemployed factory worker, was hospitalized for four days. He suffered so many internal injuries doctors had to insert a tube down his throat to remove all the blood from his stomach.

Outrage set in once details of the assault became public.

In the weeks following the attack, local news were new for Mahaney and raising callers took place. Some reached out to attack youth. One was separated by a white supremacists group convinced Mahaney was targeted by the teens because he is white and they are black.

Police officials repeatedly have said the attack was not a hate crime.

Mahaney has only given one media interview about his ordeal. In August he told The Cincinnati Enquirer he was stunned by how young his alleged attackers were.

"I don't think kids could do something like this," he said at the time. "They should be punished."

#### MORE STORIES:



Man pleads guilty to beating, strangling man

News 12/20/2012



• Economist: "Obama Won't Finish Term Without Budget"

Money Morning



Arrest of 3 teens in assault, suicide留下 new wounds

News 1/20/2013



• Steve Martin Becomes First-Time Dad at Age 67

Parent Society



New video: Man arrested for riding lawn mower drunk

News 1/19/2013



• Top 10 Nicest Cars on the Market

Consumer Car Reviews



2012 Slippery-As-Hell Agents

Reviewed.com



• Signs Your Partner is Too Controlling

Psychology Today

## 3 Ohio teens plead in 'bored' beating case

7:25 AM, March 12, 2013 | 4 Comments

Recommended 3 people recommend this. Sign up to see what your friends recommend.

[Comment](#) [Post](#) [Email](#) [Print](#) [E-mail](#) [A A +](#)


Pat Mahaney, 46, was jumped and beaten by a group of Ohio teens in an Aug. 11, 2012, attack. (The Cincinnati Enquirer)

By Jennifer Edwards  
Beast  
The Cincinnati Enquirer

FILED UNDER

Ohio  
Unsolved

ADS BY PULSE 360

Ohio Raids at 2.8% APR  
no credit? no job? no home? (200k) Mortgage 100k+? Get  
your free quote!

Ohio Refinance at 2.88%  
100k+ Mortgage or 500k+? 100k+? Get  
your free quote!

Cincinnati, Ohio Arrest Records  
Who do you know in Cincinnati that's been arrested?  
You can find them!

Local Arrests

**NORTH COLLEGE HILL, Ohio** — Three of the six teenagers charged with the brutal beating of a man simply because they were bored pleaded guilty Monday in Hamilton County Juvenile Court, officials said.

Dequan Cain, Lamont Champion and Antonio Hendrix, all 14, admitted to one count each of felonious assault in exchange for juvenile prosecutors dropping the aggravated riot charge and a serious youthful offender stipulation that would have sentenced them under a blend of juvenile and adult guidelines, said North College Hill Police Chief Gary Foust.

The teens will be sentenced in April and May by Hamilton County Juvenile Court Judge Tracie Hunter.

They could be placed on probation or incarcerated from a minimum of one year or until they turn 21.

Foust said he hopes the judge locks the boys up.

"We certainly would like to see some sort of incarceration," he said.

When asked if he was disappointed the serious youthful offender stipulation and riot charge were dropped, the chief responded:

"We certainly did our part in facilitating the charges. Certainly it is up to the discretion of the prosecutor and state whether charges are amended or not," he said. "Ultimately, I guess, where justice prevails is if the judge has a chance to review all the facts of the case and then issues a sentence based on those facts."

The three other teens charged in the case will either plead guilty in the coming weeks or continue fighting the charges by asking to go to a pre-trial. Tyree Mizell, 13, Michael E. Janes, 15 and Terrell Mizell, 13.

In the meantime, all the teens remain on house arrest and attend North College Hill schools.

The youth, who all were charged with felonious assault and aggravated riot in the Aug. 11 attack on Pat Mahaney, 46, in North College Hill, are being tried separately in juvenile court. Hunter decided on Feb. 18.

[Most Popular](#) [Most Commented](#) [Most Read](#)

-  Video: Fans take over National Anthem at Boston Bruins game
-  California woman severs husband's penis, throws it in garbage disposal
-  Police: At least 13 people killed in Texas fertilizer plant explosion
-  California man describes fight with his off-penis
-  iGAP database: Find scores for your school or district

### Most Viewed



Raw video: Man arrested for riding lawmaker's desk  
Apr. 14, 2013

### PHOTO GALLERIES



Protesters uncover Black Death victims ...  
Kris Jenner promotes her Si cover

interviews.

The case drew national attention to North College Hill, a western Hamilton County suburb of about 10,000 residents. Mahaney was walking home from the store with a six-pack of beer on a Saturday night, looking forward to watching a ball game, when the six teenagers jumped him from behind and brutally beat him, police have said.

The boys told investigators they attacked Mahaney because they were "bored" and "looking for something to do," a police report shows.

Mahaney, an unemployed factory worker, was hospitalized for four days. He suffered so many internal injuries doctors had to insert a tube down his throat to remove all the blood from his stomach.

Outrage set in once details of the incident became public.

In the weeks following the attack, fundraisers were held for Mahaney and dueling rallies took place. Some reached out to at-risk youth. One was organized by a white supremacist group convinced Mahaney was targeted by the teens because he is white and they are black.

Police officials repeatedly have said the attack was not a hate crime.

Mahaney has only given one media interview about his ordeal: in August he told The Enquirer he was stunned by how young his alleged attackers were.

"I didn't think kids could do something like this," he said at the time. "They should be punished."

View Comments (1) · Share your thoughts »

#### TOP VIDEO PICK



Russia officials: Check your responses (USA)  
Aug. 27, 2013



Russia officials: Check your responses (USA)

#### YOU MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN

Official: Several injured in Texas plant explosion

Ask Amy: Get help for friends who hurt themselves

Obama accuses GOP of 'political cluster' on guns

Michigan's Mitch McGary, MSU's Adreian Payne could be...

Beaver kills man trying to take its picture

Samsung's Smart TV: Will it bury your Cable Box?

#### SPONSORED LINKS

No Closet? Shaming Doesn't

Scare You Shaky Screens

Shaming Photo Of Emma Watson From The White

10 Early Signs of Multiple

Classic Brown Bear with Crispy

Creamy Chicken Pasta Skillet

Click here to view your arrest record now

#### METRONIX




Metronix

#### MOST POPULAR

1. Video: Penn take over National Stadium at Boston Share game
2. California woman writes husband's name, son's name in grave site
3. Police: At least 15 people dead in Texas fertilizer plant explosion
4. California man describes night with cult off-piste
5. NBC AP exclusive: Find source for your school or college
6. Where Detroit's job market is now in U.S. ranking

#### SUBSCRIBE!



#### MICHIGAN NEWS

Michigan Rep. says her bottom is "literally hot" after

Michigan bill would let dogs pull owners at outdoor markets

Car returned to Great Lakes Candy after January 2012 disappearance

Michigan toward a treatment for ALS

Ohio testing for state assistance programs

comes into question



Site Map | Email to Friend

NEWS	SPORTS	AUTOS/BIZ	CLASSIFIEDS	HELP	FOLLOW US
Politics	Lions	Auto news	Cars	Newsletters	<input type="checkbox"/> Twitter
Deals	Tigers	Auto reviews	Home	Archives	<input type="checkbox"/> Facebook
Wayne County	Red Wings	General Motors	Jobs	Contact us	<input type="checkbox"/> Mails
Oakland County	Pistons	Ford	Funerals	Subscriber services	<input type="checkbox"/> RSS
Macomb County	Warren	Olympics	Shopping	e-Edition	<input type="checkbox"/> Email alerts
Metro Detroit	Spurts	Detroit auto show	Noteworthy	Get a question?	
Michigan	High School Sports	Michigan business		Subscribers	
Michigan	Michigan Alumni	Real estate	LIFE	Reading Works	
Rachael Ray	Cook Shop	Michigan Home Show	Food	Free Press Classifieds	
Eve Ensler	Art Shows	Teen Watch	Travel	Page and photo requests	
Weather	Outdoors	Susan Tomar	Books		
ENTERTAINMENT	Auto Racing	Mark Phelan	Shopping		
Debra Lopatin	Golf	Neighborhood business	Crossword puzzles		
Music	Free Press newsletter	OPINION	Comics		
Restaurants	OBITS	Editorials	Remembered		
Movies	Death Notice	Letters to the editor	Books		
Arts	Susann & Death Notice	Commentary	Book reviews		
TV and radio		Mitch Thompson cartoons	George Kovacs		
Business & Finance		Stephen Henderson	Ellen Daegler		
Style Reader		Rosa Orstavik	Susan M. Bellamy		
Jobs News		Obituaries			
Mark Snyder		Micahel.com			
Eve Ensler					
Rachael Ray					



A PART OF  
**DETROIT**  
MEDIA SOLUTIONS

## GANNETT

Copyright © 2013 www.kalamazoo.com. All rights reserved.  
Users of this site agree to the Terms of Service, Privacy Notice/Our Online Privacy Rights, and Ad Choices.

**COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO ex rel. SCRIPPS MED1A, INC. :  
D/B/A WCPO-TV :  
Petitioner, : Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
vs. : Juv. Ct. Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305,  
HONORABLE TRACIE HUNTER : 12-7288, 12-7306, 12-7279, 12-7308,  
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas : 12-7366, 12-7367, 12-7278, 12-7307,  
Juvenile Division : 12-7304, 12-7303  
800 Broadway  
Cincinnati, OH 45202,  
Respondent. : **AFFIDAVIT OF JANA SOETE IN  
SUPPORT OF PETITION AND  
COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF  
PROHIBITION AND WRIT OF  
MANDAMUS AND IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION FOR EMERGENCY  
STAY**

STATE OF OHIO )  
 ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )

I, Jana Soete, being duly cautioned and sworn, state as follows:

1. I am managing editor of Petitioner ("WCPO"). I have personal knowledge of the matters recounted in this Affidavit.
2. On September 7, 2012, I sent by facsimile to the Hamilton County, Ohio, Juvenile Court a written request to broadcast, televise, photograph or otherwise record proceedings scheduled on September 17, 2012, September 20, 2012 and September 24, 2012 in the following Hamilton County, Ohio, court cases: Case No. 12-7307, 12-7278, 12-7279, 12-7308, 12-7366, 12-7367, 12-7288, 12-7306, 12-7285, 12-7305, 12-7303, 12-7304 (the "Juvenile Court Cases"). These are the cases concerning the felonious assault and aggravated rioting charges against six defendants in connection with the beating of a man in North College Hill. A true and accurate

copy of my written request and fax coversheet that was faxed to the Hamilton County Juvenile Court is attached as **Exhibit A**. My written request listed the Juvenile Court Cases by case number and also requested permission “to be in court at any time any of these cases is in Juvenile court should that be outside these scheduled dates.” Juvenile Court personnel informed me that the written request was insufficient and forwarded to me a form labeled “Application Requesting Permission to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings.” The form application contained a certification of the applicant as follows: “We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).” On behalf of WCPO, I signed the certification for each of the Juvenile Court Cases with the handwritten signature “WCPO-TV 9 News.” The application form stated that “all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify.” The application form contained no prohibitions or restrictions regarding filming juveniles or parents who do not object, or regarding publishing the defendants’ names obtained through public records requests from the Cincinnati Police Department or otherwise, or regarding publishing the names of the defendants’ parents, or any other restrictions regarding access to or coverage of the proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. A true and accurate copy of the application forms that I signed on September 7, 2012 are attached as **Exhibit B**.

3. On September 13, 2012 and on behalf of WCPO, I signed applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases on September 17, 2012, September 20, 2012 and September 24, 2012. I signed the certification

on the application for each of the Juvenile Court Cases with the handwritten signature “WCPO-TV” or “WCPO-TV – Jana Soete.” The applications that I signed on September 13, 2012 contained the same language as the applications I signed on September 7, 2012 regarding “victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify” and contained no blanket prohibitions or restrictions regarding filming juveniles or parents or publishing the names of defendants or their parents. True and accurate copies of the application forms that I signed on September 13, 2012 are attached as **Exhibit C**.

4. Unbeknownst to me until very recently, Judge Tracie Hunter entered orders dated September 17, 2012 that imposed significantly more restrictions on access to and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases than were contained in the applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings that I signed and submitted to the Juvenile Court on September 7, 2012 and September 13, 2012. I was not served with Judge Hunter’s orders dated September 17, 2012, and to my knowledge WCPO was not served with the September 17, 2012 orders. I have now reviewed copies of the September 17, 2012 orders. The orders include a certification stating, “We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).” The certification is purportedly signed by “Channel 9 (WCPO),” and the signature is typewritten. To my knowledge, WCPO did not sign the certification and did not type “Channel 9 (WCPO)” on the signature. It is WCPO’s practice to sign applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph or record Juvenile Court proceedings by hand because we do not have the capability to sign the applications with typewritten signatures. The September 17, 2012 orders state that defendants may only be videotaped below the waist, and

that the media are barred from publishing or broadcasting the names of defendants and their parents. The orders also state that the media are prohibited from taking photographs of the defendants' parents, and that the media must seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them. A true and accurate copy of the orders dated September 17, 2012 that I have now reviewed are attached as **Exhibit D**.

5. I have reviewed orders signed by Judge Tracie Hunter and dated February 19, 2013. These orders impose significantly more restrictions on access to and coverage of proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases than were contained in the applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings that I signed and submitted to the Juvenile Court on September 7, 2012 and September 13, 2012. The orders dated February 19, 2013 include a certification of the applicant that contains language identical to the certification on the September 17, 2012 orders. The certification is purportedly signed by "WCPO-TV Channel 9," and the signature is typewritten. To my knowledge, WCPO did not sign the certification and did not type "WCPO-TV Channel 9" on the signature line. It is WCPO's practice to sign applications requesting permission to broadcast, televise, photograph or record Juvenile Court proceedings by hand because we do not have the capability to sign the applications with typewritten signatures. A true and accurate copy of the February 19, 2013 orders that I have reviewed are attached as **Exhibit E**.

6. I have reviewed orders signed by Judge Tracie Hunter on March 25, 2013 in the Juvenile Court Cases. The March 25, 2013 orders contain the same restrictions and prohibitions as in Judge Hunter's September 17, 2012 orders and the February 19, 2013 orders. In addition, the March 25, 2013 orders contain the following language: **"If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or**

record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.” The orders include a certification of applicant that purportedly bears the signature of “WCPO ABC (9)” or “WCPO – ABC – 9” in handwriting. To my knowledge, WCPO did not sign the certification of applicant that appears on the March 25, 2013 orders. True and accurate copies of the March 25, 2013 orders that I have reviewed are attached as Exhibit E.

7. WCPO has been told by Juvenile Court personnel that WCPO is required to sign the Application Requesting Permission to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings that contains the restrictions and prohibitions in Judge Hunter's orders dated September 17, 2012, February 19, 2013 and March 25, 2013 before WCPO is allowed into the courtroom to cover the Juvenile Court Cases – even if WCPO does not intend to broadcast, televise, photograph or record the proceedings.

8. Upon information and belief, I understand that there are further proceedings scheduled in the Juvenile Court cases on April 22, May 13, May 22, June 24 and June 27, 2013.

Jana Soete  
Jana Soete

STATE OF OHIO )  
 ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )

The foregoing Affidavit was acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, by Jana Soete, an individual known to me or whose identity was proven on the basis of satisfactory evidence, on the 19th day of April, 2013.



**Mary S. Fleming**  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires August 16, 2014

Mary J. Stein  
Notary Public - State of Ohio

**PRAECIPE FOR SERVICE**

Please issue a copy of this Affidavit of Jana Soete along with the Summons and Petition and Complaint for Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus to the Respondent identified in the caption via Certified Mail, return receipt requested.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Jill P. Meyer*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Attorney for Petitioner*


CINLibrary 0078612.0484957 2733387vvv1

# **EXHIBIT A**



# WCPO-TV NEWSROOM

1720 Gilbert Avenue  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
513.852.4071



CINCINNATI

**On Your Side**

# FAX


To: Hamilton Co Juvenile Court From: WCPO-Jani Soete

Company: Nancy Hunt Pages: (Including cover sheet)

Fax: 513-946-9217 Date: 9/7/12

Re: Request For Coverage Time: 3 pm

• **Comments:**



WCPO TV requests to be in the Hamilton County courtroom and requests permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the following cases, under the provisions of Ohio SuperIntendence rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the applicant. ( see attached form for signature and magistrate ruling)

September 17- September 20, and September 24th.

- 12-7307
- 12-7278
- 12-7279
- 12-7308
- 12-7366
- 12-7367
- 12-7288
- 12-7306
- 12-7285
- 12-7305
- 12-7303
- 12-7304

We also request permission to be in court at any time any of these cases is in Juvenile court should that be outside these scheduled dates.

Sincerely WCPO TV

1720 Gilbert Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1401 (513)721.9900 [www.wcpo.com](http://www.wcpo.com)  
A SURNES TELEVISION STATION

# **EXHIBIT B**

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7306

Sept 17, 20, 24§ CASE NO. See attached Form

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s):

WCPO-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

## MAGISTRATE'S ORDER

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TB, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Geier, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinnell v. Lyon, 84 OS 2nd 389 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 80 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 882 P 2<sup>nd</sup> 147 (1988)

/12/007306 09/07/2012



\*J7617503\* E218

MAGISTRATE

DATE

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7305

Sept 17, 20, 24T M§ CASE NO. See attached Form§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio at such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

## MAGISTRATE'S ORDER

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 8<sup>th</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Giner, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 8<sup>th</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinnell v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 80 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 P 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007305

09/07/2012



AJ7617506\*

E218

MAGISTRATE

DATE

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7307

Sept 17, 20, 24§ CASE NO. See attached FormL. C. [Redacted]§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

**MAGISTRATE'S ORDER**

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re JR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Grier, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v. Love, 82 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 882 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

12/007307 09/07/2012



J7617483\*

E218

MAGISTRATE

DATE

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7304

Sept 17, 20, 24§ CASE NO. See attached Form

D [REDACTED] C [REDACTED]

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio at such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

## MAGISTRATE'S ORDER

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 8<sup>th</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Great, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcating v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinnell v. Lava, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 652 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007304

09/07/2012



\*J7617509\*

E218

MAGISTRATE

DATE

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7366

Sept 17, 20, 24§ CASE NO. See attached Form§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

## MAGISTRATE'S ORDER

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcating v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grindell v. Lova, 82 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 882 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007366

09/07/2012

MAGISTRATE

DATE



HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

12-7308

Sept 17, 20, 24§ CASE NO. See attached FormM [redacted] J [redacted]§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio or such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPOL-TV 9 News 9/7/12  
Applicant Date

## MAGISTRATE'S ORDER

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.


Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Discalci v. Gruber, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v. Lava, 82 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 389 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 80 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 80-1487 (1988)

12/007308 09/07/2012



MAGISTRATE

DATE



07/12 01:52PM

WCPO-TV

5137217717

p.01

# WCPO-TV NEWSROOM

1720 Gilbert Avenue  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
513.852.4071

**On Your Side**

# Fax

To: Hamilton Co Juvenile Court From: WCPO - Jamie Soete

Company: Nancy Hunt Pages: (including cover sheet)

Fax: 513-946-9217 Date: 9/7/12

Re: Request For Coverage Time: 3 pm

• **Comments:**

1720 Gilbert Ave. \* Cincinnati, OH 45202 \* A Scripps Howard Television Station \* FAX: (513) 721-7717



WCPO TV requests to be in the Hamilton County courtroom and requests permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the following cases, under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the applicant. (see attached form for signature and magistrate ruling)

September 17, September 20, and September 24th.

- 12-7307
- 12-7278
- 12-7279
- 12-7308
- 12-7366
- 12-7367
- 12-7288
- 12-7306
- 12-7285
- 12-7305
- 12-7303
- 12-7304

We also request permission to be in court at any time any of these cases is in Juvenile court should that be outside these scheduled dates.

Sincerely WCPO TV

# **EXHIBIT C**

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURTT~~██████████~~ M~~██████████~~

§ CASE NO. 12/7268-12/7306

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/17/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Gray, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinnell v. Love, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 389 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 652 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 107 (1988)

Judge/Magistrate

/12/007306

09/13/2012



\*J7619281\*

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 1277288-12/7306

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/20/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginell v. Love, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 389 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 862 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007306

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



\*J7619282\*

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7288-12/7306

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re IR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Gringill v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 892 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

1/12/007306

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619283

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. T277285 12/7305

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinelli v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007305 09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619319

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. T277285 12/7305

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1980)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginell v. Love, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007305 09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619319\* E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

L [REDACTED] C [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7278-12/7307

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/17/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinelli v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)



Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

L [REDACTED] C [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 1277278-12/7307

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/20/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dennett v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

12/007307 09/13/2012



J7619295

E218

Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT~~LOMELIN C. CHAPMAN~~

§ CASE NO. 127278-12/7307

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1980)  
Ojenslach v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1980)  
Grinell v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 832 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

/12/007307

09/13/2012



E218

Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

D [REDACTED] C [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7303-12/7304

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/17/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV - Gazette  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 8 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 811 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Connelly v. Love, 82 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 167 (1988)

12/007304

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619325

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

DA [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7303-12/7304

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/20/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re: TB, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinnell v. Love, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F.2<sup>nd</sup> 107 (1988)

12/007304

09/13/2012



J7619326\*

E218

Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

D. [REDACTED] C. [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7303-12/7304

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginnell v. Love, 62 OS 2<sup>nd</sup> 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Cuyahoga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

12/007304 09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

A [REDACTED] H [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7366-12/7367

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/17/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

*WCPO-TV*  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.


Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 62 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ganell v Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

12/007366

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



A7619305\*

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

A

H

§

CASE NO. 12/7366 -12/7367

§

APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/20/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the Judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 611 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginell v Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v United States, 832 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1986)

12/007366

09/13/2012



Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

A [REDACTED] H [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12/7366-12/7367

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.


All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TJS, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Olspach v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ornell v. Loyd, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)



\*J7619307\* E218

Judge/Magistrate

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED] J [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. T2/7279-12/7308

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/17/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Olenbach v. Group, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Orrell v. Loys, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 107 (1988)

/12/007308 09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619302 E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURTM [REDACTED] J [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 1277279-12/7308

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS9/20/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re: JR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Disneyland v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Gilmell v. Love, 82 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 652 F.2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

1/2/007308

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



J7619303

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED] J [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 427279-12/7308

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD  
COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

9/24/12

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All parties in this matter will be informed of the request for permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record the proceedings and if any party objects to the request, a hearing will be held in accordance with the law to determine whether the request will be granted and if restrictions, beyond those listed in Superintendence Rule 12 will be required.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed and if they do object, their objections shall be made known to all persons; and victims or witnesses who object may not be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed as they so specify. Persons requesting broadcast or photographs will be informed regarding who may have objected.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re IR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (1990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 611 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginsell v. Love, 82 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 78 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 862 F.2<sup>nd</sup> 187 (1988)

12/007308

09/13/2012

Judge/Magistrate



# **EXHIBIT D**

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO.12/7288, 12/7306



JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

Channel 9 (WCPO)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12.


Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Otherwise, this journalization reflects the policy for all future proceedings in the above referenced matter.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In Re TR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (990)  
Dispatch v. Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v. Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Grinell v. Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v. Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v. United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 157 (1988)

September 17, 2012  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

**RULE 12. Conditions for Broadcasting and Photographing Court Proceedings.**

(A) **Presiding judge.** The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings that are open to the public as provided by Ohio law. After consultation with the media, the judge shall specify the place or places in the courtroom where the operators and equipment are to be positioned. Requests for permission for the broadcasting, televising, recording, or taking of photographs in the courtroom shall be in writing and the written order of the judge shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings.

(B) **Permissible equipment and operators.**

(1) Use of more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator shall be allowed only with the permission of the judge.

(2) Not more than one still photographer shall be permitted to photograph trial proceedings without permission of the judge. Still photographers shall be limited to two cameras with two lenses for each camera.

(3) For radio broadcast purposes, not more than one audio system shall be permitted in court. Where available and suitable, existing audio pickup systems in the court facility shall be used by the media. If existing audio pickup systems are not available, microphones and other electronic equipment necessary for the audio pickup shall be as inconspicuous as possible but shall be visible.

(4) Visible audio recording equipment may be used by news media reporters with the prior permission of the judge.

(5) Arrangements between or among media for "pooling" of equipment shall be the responsibility of the media representative authorized to cover the proceeding. "Pooling" arrangements are to be made outside the courtroom and without imposing on the judge or court personnel. If disputes arise over arrangements between or among media representatives, the judge may exclude all contesting representatives from the proceedings.

(6) The judge shall prohibit the use of electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light. No artificial lighting other than that normally used in the courtroom shall be employed, provided that, if the normal lighting in the courtroom can be improved without becoming obtrusive, the judge may permit modification.

(7) Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall be afforded a clear view but shall not be permitted to move about in the courtroom during court proceedings from the places where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(B) Permissible Equipment and Operators**

Not more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator and not more than one still photographer with two cameras shall be allowed unless the judge presiding at the trial or hearing specifically permits additional cameras or operators. Each of the two still cameras permitted by the rule is limited to two lenses.

For purposes of radio broadcasting, not more than one audio system is permitted. If an existing audio system is available and suitable, it shall be used. If an audio system is not available, then microphones and other necessary equipment "shall be as inconspicuous as possible but must be visible."

Portable audio recording equipment may be used by reporters if it is visible and if the permission of the judge presiding at the trial or hearing is first obtained.

All pooling arrangements are the responsibility of the media representatives. Pooling arrangements must be made without involving the court. If any disputes arise, the judge may exclude all contesting media representatives.

Electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light shall be prohibited by the judge. No artificial lighting, other than that normally used in the courtroom, is permitted unless the judge, upon request and after consultation with the media representatives, determines that the normal light can be improved without becoming obtrusive.

Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall not move about the courtroom from the place where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(C) Limitations**

Audio pickup or broadcast of conferences in a court facility between attorney and client or between counsel and the judge are prohibited.

The trial judge must advise victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

No part of Rule 12 gives authority for media coverage where it is otherwise limited or prohibited by law.

While the court is in session, media representatives are not permitted to either transmit or record anything from the courtroom other than court proceedings.

**(C) Limitations.**

- (1) There shall be no audio pickup or broadcast of conferences conducted in a court facility between attorneys and clients or co-counsel or of conferences conducted at the bench between counsel and the judge.
- (2) The judge shall inform victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.
- (3) This rule shall not be construed to grant media representatives any greater rights than permitted by law.
- (4) Media representatives shall not be permitted to transmit or record anything other than the court proceedings from the courtroom while the court is in session.

**(D) Revocation of permission.** Upon the failure of any media representative to comply with the conditions prescribed by this rule or the judge, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing.

**Commentary (July 1, 1997)**

Rule 12 is analogous to former C.P. Sup. R. 11 and M.C. Sup. R. 9. Division (A) was revised to include a reference to standards set forth in Ohio law, such as *In re T.R.* (1990), 52 Ohio St.3d 6, that govern public access to court proceedings. The 1997 amendments also eliminated the prohibition against changing film and videotape during court proceedings.

**Rule 12(A) Presiding Judge**

The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings open to the public, upon request, if the judge determines that to do so would not distract the participants, impair the dignity of the proceedings or otherwise materially interfere with the achievement of a fair trial. Both the request for permission and the ruling on the request must be in writing and made a part of the record of the proceedings.

The filming, videotaping, recording, or taking of photographs of victims or witnesses who object shall not be permitted.

After consultation with the media the judge specifies the locations within the courtroom where operators and equipment may be located. However, still photographers and television and radio representatives must be given a clear view of the proceedings under division (B)(7).

**Rule 12(D) Revocation of Permission**

If any media representative fails to comply with the conditions set by either the judge or this rule, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

LAW OFFICES OF CHAMBERS

§ CASE NO. 12/7278, (12/7307)



JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

Channel 9 (WCPO)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12 attached to this application / order.

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Otherwise, this journalization reflects the policy for all future proceedings in the above referenced matter.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming them.

In ReTR, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 6 (990)  
Dispatch v Green, 114 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 511 (2007)  
National Broadcasting v Lake County, 52 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 (1990)  
Ginnell v Love, 62 OS 2nd 399 (1980)  
Plain Dealer v Geauga County, 90 OS 3<sup>rd</sup> 79 (2000)  
Conway v United States, 852 F 2<sup>nd</sup> 157 (1988)

  
Sept 17, 2012  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

**RULE 12. Conditions for Broadcasting and Photographing Court Proceedings.**

(A) **Presiding judge.** The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings that are open to the public as provided by Ohio law. After consultation with the media, the judge shall specify the place or places in the courtroom where the operators and equipment are to be positioned. Requests for permission for the broadcasting, televising, recording, or taking of photographs in the courtroom shall be in writing and the written order of the judge shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings.

(B) **Permissible equipment and operators.**

(1) Use of more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator shall be allowed only with the permission of the judge.

(2) Not more than one still photographer shall be permitted to photograph trial proceedings without permission of the judge. Still photographers shall be limited to two cameras with two lenses for each camera.

(3) For radio broadcast purposes, not more than one audio system shall be permitted in court. Where available and suitable, existing audio pickup systems in the court facility shall be used by the media. If existing audio pickup systems are not available, microphones and other electronic equipment necessary for the audio pickup shall be as inconspicuous as possible but shall be visible.

(4) Visible audio recording equipment may be used by news media reporters with the prior permission of the judge.

(5) Arrangements between or among media for "pooling" of equipment shall be the responsibility of the media representative authorized to cover the proceeding. "Pooling" arrangements are to be made outside the courtroom and without imposing on the judge or court personnel. If disputes arise over arrangements between or among media representatives, the judge may exclude all contesting representatives from the proceedings.

(6) The judge shall prohibit the use of electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light. No artificial lighting other than that normally used in the courtroom shall be employed, provided that, if the normal lighting in the courtroom can be improved without becoming obtrusive, the judge may permit modification.

(7) Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall be afforded a clear view but shall not be permitted to move about in the courtroom during court proceedings from the places where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(B) Permissible Equipment and Operators**

Not more than one portable television, videotape, or movie camera with one operator and not more than one still photographer with two cameras shall be allowed unless the judge presiding at the trial or hearing specifically permits additional cameras or operators. Each of the two still cameras permitted by the rule is limited to two lenses.

For purposes of radio broadcasting, not more than one audio system is permitted. If an existing audio system is available and suitable, it shall be used. If an audio system is not available, then microphones and other necessary equipment "shall be as inconspicuous as possible but must be visible."

Portable audio recording equipment may be used by reporters if it is visible and if the permission of the judge presiding at the trial or hearing is first obtained.

All pooling arrangements are the responsibility of the media representatives. Pooling arrangements must be made without involving the court. If any disputes arise, the judge may exclude all contesting media representatives.

Electronic or photographic equipment that produces distracting sound or light shall be prohibited by the judge. No artificial lighting, other than that normally used in the courtroom, is permitted unless the judge, upon request and after consultation with the media representatives, determines that the normal light can be improved without becoming obtrusive.

Still photographers and television and radio representatives shall not move about the courtroom from the place where they have been positioned by the judge, except to leave or enter the courtroom.

**Rule 12(C) Limitations**

Audio pickup or broadcast of conferences in a court facility between attorney and client or between counsel and the judge are prohibited.

The trial judge must advise victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

No part of Rule 12 gives authority for media coverage where it is otherwise limited or prohibited by law.

While the court is in session, media representatives are not permitted to either transmit or record anything from the courtroom other than court proceedings.

**(C) Limitations.**

- (1) There shall be no audio pickup or broadcast of conferences conducted in a court facility between attorneys and clients or co-counsel or of conferences conducted at the bench between counsel and the judge.
- (2) The judge shall inform victims and witnesses of their right to object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.
- (3) This rule shall not be construed to grant media representatives any greater rights than permitted by law.
- (4) Media representatives shall not be permitted to transmit or record anything other than the court proceedings from the courtroom while the court is in session.

**(D) Revocation of permission.** Upon the failure of any media representative to comply with the conditions prescribed by this rule or the judge, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing.

**Commentary (July 1, 1997)**

Rule 12 is analogous to former C.P. Sup. R. 11 and M.C. Sup. R. 9. Division (A) was revised to include a reference to standards set forth in Ohio law, such as *In re T.R.* (1990), 52 Ohio St.3d 6, that govern public access to court proceedings. The 1997 amendments also eliminated the prohibition against changing film and videotape during court proceedings.

**Rule 12(A) Presiding Judge**

The judge assigned to the trial or hearing shall permit the broadcasting or recording by electronic means and the taking of photographs in court proceedings open to the public, upon request, if the judge determines that to do so would not distract the participants, impair the dignity of the proceedings or otherwise materially interfere with the achievement of a fair trial. Both the request for permission and the ruling on the request must be in writing and made a part of the record of the proceedings.

The filming, videotaping, recording, or taking of photographs of victims or witnesses who object shall not be permitted.

After consultation with the media the judge specifies the locations within the courtroom where operators and equipment may be located. However, still photographers and television and radio representatives must be given a clear view of the proceedings under division (B)(7).

**Rule 12(D) Revocation of Permission**

If any media representative fails to comply with the conditions set by either the judge or this rule, the judge may revoke the permission to broadcast or photograph the trial or hearing

# **EXHIBIT E**

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7288, 12-7306 Z

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.


/12/007306

02/19/2013



\*J7715870\*

E218

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter  
02/19/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7285, 12-7305 Z

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.

/12/007305 02/19/2013  
  
\*J7715868\* E218

  
02/19/13  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7278, 12-7307 Z

~~LAWSUIT CHAMBER~~

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

Date

02/19/13

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7303, 12-7304 Z

D [REDACTED] C [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date 02/19/13

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7366, 12-7367 Z

A [REDACTED] H [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.

/12/007366

02/19/2013



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

02/19/13  
Date

\*J7715872\*

E218

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

§ CASE NO. 12-7279, 12-7308 Z

M [REDACTED] J [REDACTED]

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO  
BROADCAST, TELEVISE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR  
RECORD COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO-TV Channel 9

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendant may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. **Further application must be made for future hearings.**

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likeness or image.



  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter Date 02/19/13

# **EXHIBIT F**

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007306

IN RE: T██

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926512\*  
jcmrl53.dot

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: 12/007288

IN RE: T██

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926533\*  
jcmr153.dot

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 2012-7306

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO ABC (9)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:


All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

3/25/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12-7288 / 12A7306

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPO ABC (9)  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

3/25/13  
Date

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007285

IN RE: T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926498\*  
jcmr153.dot

NOTICE

HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

CASE #: /12/007305

IN RE: T. M.

SUSAN GROGAN FALLER  
FROST, BROWN, TODD, LLC  
201 E. FIFTH ST., STE. 2200  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

X Enclosed please find a copy of a Court document.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court on this date of: 03/27/2013



Chief Deputy Clerk

I HAVE SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO THE NAMED INDIVIDUAL, BY DELIVERING IT TO HIM/HER BY: MAIL

Case Manager Freeman

03/27/2013

Name

Date



\*1926504\*  
jemr153.dot

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M [REDACTED], T [REDACTED]

§ CASE NO. 12-7305 *WADAS*

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCP0 - ABC - 9  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

*J. M. Hunter*  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

03/25/13  
Date

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURT

M, T

§ CASE NO. 12 ~~AN 0000~~ / 7285

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

§ APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

WCPD - ABC - 9  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:


All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Broadcasts, videotapes, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the judge and all courtroom staff.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed. If they do object, they **MAY NOT** be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the Defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographing the Defendants' parents is prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles. Otherwise, if Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing shall be conducted.

If media applicant violates this order, the Judge may revoke violator(s) permission to broadcast, videotape, photograph, or record all future courtroom proceedings; and additionally may take any other actions available under law.

  
Judge Tracie M. Hunter

03/25/13  
Date

**COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO ex rel. SCRIPPS MEDIA, INC. :	
D/B/A WCPO-TV :	
Petitioner, :	Case No.: _____
vs. :	Juv. Ct. Nos. 12-7285, 12-7305,
	12-7288, 12-7306, 12-7279, 12-7308,
	12-7366, 12-7367, 12-7278, 12-7307,
	12-7304, 12-7303
HONORABLE TRACIE HUNTER :	
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas :	
Juvenile Division :	
800 Broadway :	
Cincinnati, OH 45202, :	
Respondent. :	<b><u>AFFIDAVIT OF JILLIAN PARRISH IN SUPPORT OF PETITION AND COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR EMERGENCY STAY</u></b>

STATE OF OHIO )  
                  )  
                  ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )

I, Jillian Parrish, being duly cautioned and sworn, state as follows:

1. I am planning editor of Petitioner ("WCPO"). I have personal knowledge of the matters recounted in this Affidavit.
2. On or about March 22, 2013, Juvenile Court personnel told me that WCPO was required to sign an Application Requesting Permission to Broadcast, Televise, Photograph, or Record Courtroom Proceedings in order to cover upcoming proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases involving six defendants charged in the beating of a North College Hill man. The application that Juvenile Court personnel instructed WCPO to sign included the following language: "Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future

proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles."

3. I signed the Application so that WCPO could broadcast, televise, photograph or record upcoming proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases. I was told by Juvenile Court personnel that WCPO would not be allowed into the courtroom to cover proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases, even without a camera or recording device, unless WCPO signed the application. Because I believed WCPO would be denied entry to the courtroom to cover proceedings in the Juvenile Court Cases if WCPO did not sign the application, I signed the application on behalf of WCPO. I signed the application "Jillian Parrish – WCPO, ABC, Ch 9."

A true and accurate copy of the application that I signed on March 22, 2013 is attached as

## Exhibit A.

## FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

  
Jillian Parrish

STATE OF OHIO )  
 )  
COUNTY OF HAMILTON ) SS:

The foregoing Affidavit was acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, by Jillian Parrish, an individual known to me or whose identity was proven on the basis of satisfactory evidence, on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013.

Mary A. Sela  
Notary Public – State of Ohio



**Mary S. Fleming**  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires August 16, 2014

**PRAECIPE FOR SERVICE**

Please issue a copy of this Affidavit of Jillian Parrish along with the Summons and Petition and Complaint for Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus to the Respondent identified in the caption via Certified Mail, return receipt requested.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Jill P. Meyer*  
*Attorney for Petitioner*

CINLibrary 0078612.0484957 2734580vvv1

# EXHIBIT A

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO  
JUVENILE COURTCase #

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED] 12-7288 \$

12-7306

CASE NO.

JUDGE TRACIE M. HUNTER

T [REDACTED] M [REDACTED] 12-7305 \$

12-7285

APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION  
TO BROADCAST, TELEVISE,  
PHOTOGRAPH, OR RECORD COURTROOM  
PROCEEDINGS

The person(s) below hereby request(s) permission to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record proceedings in the above captioned case under the provisions of Ohio Superintendence Rule 12 and in accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court. We hereby certify that the conditions for recording established by the Supreme Court of Ohio and such rules as are established by this Court will be complied with and any cost arising therefrom shall be borne by the undersigned applicant(s).

Jillian Parikh - WCPO, ABC, CH9  
Applicant

The Court, upon consideration of the above request, hereby grants its authorization to broadcast, televise, photograph, or otherwise record judicial proceedings in the above captioned matter, subject to the following conditions:

All persons approved to broadcast, televise, photograph, or record courtroom proceedings must comply with Ohio Rules of Superintendence, Rule 12; and Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice of the Hamilton County Juvenile Court.


Broadcasts, videotape, photographs and recordings may include full images and sound of the magistrate.

In accordance with Superintendence Rule 12 and Rules of Practice, Rule 14, all victims and witnesses may object to being filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed; and if they do object, they MAY NOT be filmed, videotaped, recorded, or photographed.

Juvenile Defendants may only be videotaped below the waist. Names of the defendants and their parents are barred from publication or broadcast for all current and future proceedings regarding this matter. Photographs of the defendants' parents are prohibited, as it may compromise the safety of the juveniles.

If Defendants object at any time, a closure hearing will be conducted. Further application must be made for future hearings.

Seek permission from the prosecutor and defense counsel regarding filming their likenesses or image.



Judge Tracie M. Hunter

Date